arXiv:1402.6883v1 [math.DG] 27 Feb 2014

Rigidity Theorems for Complete Sasakian Manifolds with Constant Pseudo-Hermitian Scalar Curvature*†‡

Yuxin, Dong Hezi, Lin Yibin, Ren§

February 28, 2014

Abstract

The orthogonal decomposition of the Webster curvature provides us a way to characterize some canonical metrics on a pseudo-Hermitian manifold. We derive some subelliptic differential inequalities from the Weitzenböck formulas for the traceless pseudo-Hermitian Ricci tensor and the Chern-Moser tensor of Sasakian manifolds with constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature and Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifolds respectively. By means of either subelliptic estimates or maximum principle, some rigidity theorems are established to characterize Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifolds among Sasakian manifolds with constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature and Sasakian space forms among Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifolds respectively.

1 Introduction

A fundamental result in Riemannian geometry is that the curvature tensor Rm of an n dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) can be decomposed into three mutually orthogonal irreducible components:

$$Rm = W + \frac{1}{n-2} E \owedge g + \frac{\rho}{2n(n-1)} g \owedge g$$

where W denotes the Weyl conformal curvature tensor, E and ρ are the traceless part of the Ricci curvature and the scalar curvature respectively. The vanishing of some component in this decomposition characterizes some special metric

*Supported by NSFC grant No. 11271071 and LMNS, Fudan

†Key words: Rigidity theorem, Chern-Moser tensor, CR Yamabe invariant, Sasakian manifold, pseudo-Einstein manifold, pseudo-Hermitian space form

‡2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 32V05. Secondary: 32V20, 53C24, 53C25

§Corresponding author

on Riemannian manifolds. One of the important problems in Riemannian geometry is to investigate the rigidity phenomena of some canonical metrics on Riemannian manifolds. As is known, Einstein manifolds play an important role in both geometry and physics, while real spaces forms are the simplest geometric models. In [9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 22, 23, 26], some rigidity results were given to characterize Einstein manifolds and real space forms among suitably larger classes of Riemannian manifolds respectively. The key point of their methods is a special type of differential inequalities derived from a suitable Weitzenböck formula and a refined Kato inequality, which enables one to use either the maximum principle or the elliptic estimates to derive the rigidity results, under either pointwise pinching conditions or global integral pinching conditions.

In 1974, S. S. Chern and J. K. Moser introduced the so-called Chern-Moser tensor C for a pseudo-Hermitian manifold, which plays the role analogous to the Weyl curvature tensor for a Riemannian manifold (cf. [5, 25]). Let R be the Webster curvature of the pseudo-Hermitian manifold. Then we have the following orthogonal decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}} =& C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}} + \frac{1}{n+2}(E_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + E_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}\delta_{\beta\bar{\mu}} + \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}E_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}E_{\beta\bar{\mu}}) \\ &+ \frac{\rho}{n(n+1)}(\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}\delta_{\beta\bar{\mu}}) \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{\overline{\alpha}\beta\lambda\overline{\mu}}$ is the Chern-Moser tensor, $E_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}$ is the traceless pseudo-Hermitian Ricci tensor and ρ is the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. Recall that a pseudo-Hermitian manifold (M, HM, J_b, θ) is spherical if and only if $C = 0$ $(dim\ M \geq 5)$; (M, HM, J_b, θ) is pseudo-Einstein if and only if $E = 0$. Clearly (M, HM, J_b, θ) is of constant pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature if and only if $C = 0$ and $E = 0$, provided it has constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. On the other hand, a pseudo-Hermitian manifold is called Sasakian if its pseudo-Hermitian torsion vanishes. As a special class of pseudo-Hermitian manifolds, Sasakian manifolds have received much attention over the past two decades, due to at least two reasons. Firstly, Sasakian manifolds are the links of Kähler cones, and secondly, Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifolds play a special role in String theory (cf. [4]).

In this paper, we investigate the following two rigidity problems for complete Sasakian manifolds:

Problem.

(i) the rigidity of Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifolds among Sasakian manifolds with constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature;

(ii) the rigidity of Sasakian space forms among Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifolds.

For these purposes, we derive the Weitzenböck formulas for $|E|^2$ and $|C|^2$ respectively. It turns out that the vanishing of the pseudo-Hermitian torsion and the constancy of ρ imply that E is a Codazzi type tensor, and if (M, HM, J_b, θ)

is pseudo-Einstein, then C satisfies a Bianchi-type identity. These properties for E and C, combined with some refined Kato inequalities, enable us to deduce some differential inequalities for $|E|$ and $|C|$ respectively. Note that the differential inequalities for $|E|$ and $|C|$ are of the same kind and the main differential operator appearing in them is the sub-Laplacian. We will treat both compact and complete noncompact Sasakian manifolds, and use either the maximum principle or the sub-elliptic estimates to derive the rigidity results from these differential inequalities, under either pointwise pinching conditions or global integral pinching conditions. Roughly speaking, our results are as follows:

1. For Problem (i), under either suitable pointwise pinching conditions or suitable L^{n+1} integral pinching conditions on $|C|$ and $|E|$, the Sasakian manifolds become Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifolds (Section 4);

2. For Problem (ii), under either suitable pointwise pinching conditions or suitable L^{n+1} integral pinching conditions on $|C|$, the Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifolds become Sasakian space forms (Section 5).

These results may be regarded as the CR analogues to the rigidity results for Riemannian manifolds mentioned previously. Actually the authors in [14] considered the Problem (ii) for compact Sasakian manifold too. They gave an integral-type rigidity theorem for the Chern-Moser tensor on compact Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifolds to characterize the odd dimensional spheres. However, their integral norm for C is not CR conformal invariant. Using a CR Sobolev-type inequality, we may obtain a somewhat different result which seems to be more natural from the viewpoints of CR geometry. Besides, the main part of this paper is to study the Problems (i) and (ii) for complete noncompact Sasakian manifolds. On the other hand, the authors in [6] have established similar rigidity theorems for complete Kähler manifolds with constant scalar curvature.

2 Pseudo-Hermitian Geometry

In this section, we present some basic notions and formulas on CR manifolds. For details, the readers may refer to [4, 7, 19, 25]. Recall that a smooth manifold M of real dimension $(2n+1)$ is said to be a CR manifold if there exists a smooth rank n complex subbundle $T_{1,0}M \subset TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$T_{1,0}M \cap T_{0,1}M = 0$$

and

$$[\Gamma(T_{1,0}M), \Gamma(T_{1,0}M)] \subset \Gamma(T_{1,0}M)$$

where $T_{0,1}M = \overline{T_{1,0}M}$ is the complex conjugate of $T_{1,0}M$. Equivalently, the CR structure may also be described by the real subbundle $HM = Re\{T_{1,0}M \oplus T_{0,1}M\}$ of TM which carries a complex structure $J_b : HM \to HM$ defined by $J_b(X + \overline{X}) = \sqrt{-1}(X - \overline{X})$ for any $X \in T_{1,0}M$. Since HM is naturally oriented

by the complex structure, then M is orientable if and only if there exists a global nowhere vanishing 1-form θ such that $\theta(HM) = 0$. Any such section θ is referred to as a pseudo-Hermitian structure on M. Given a pseudo-Hermitian structure θ on M, the Levi form L_θ is defined by

$$L_\theta(Z, \overline{W}) = -\sqrt{-1}d\theta(Z, \overline{W})$$

for any $Z, W \in T_{1,0}M$.

Definition 2.1. An orientable CR manifold M with a pseudo-Hermitian structure θ, denoted by (M, HM, J_b, θ), is called a pseudo-Hermitian manifold. A pseudo-Hermitian manifold (M, HM, J_b, θ) is said to be a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold if its Levi form L_θ is positive definite.

From now on we assume that (M, HM, J_b, θ) is a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold. Therefore there exists a unique nowhere zero vector field T transverse to HM, satisfying $T \lrcorner \theta = 1,\ T \lrcorner d\theta = 0$. This vector field is called the characteristic direction of (M, HM, J_b, θ). We can extend J_b to an endomorphism of TM by requiring that $J_b T = 0$. Define the bilinear form G_θ by

$$G_\theta(X, Y) = d\theta(X, J_b Y), \quad \text{for any } \ X, Y \in HM.$$

Since G_θ is J_b-invariant and coincides with L_θ on $T_{1,0}M \otimes T_{0,1}M$, G_θ is also positive definite on $HM \otimes HM$. This allows us to define a Riemannian metric g_θ on TM by

$$g_\theta(X, Y) = G_\theta(\pi_H X, \pi_H Y) + \theta(X)\theta(Y), \quad X, Y \in TM$$

where $\pi_H : TM \to HM$ is the projection with respect to the direct sum decomposition $TM = HM \oplus \mathbb{R}T$. This metric g_θ is usually called the Webster metric. Sometimes we denote it by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Definition 2.2. Assume that (M, HM, J_b, θ) and $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{HM}, \widetilde{J}_b, \widetilde{\theta})$ are two strictly pseudoconvex CR manifolds. We say that (M, HM, J_b, θ) is CR conformal to $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{HM}, \widetilde{J}_b, \widetilde{\theta})$ if there exists a diffeomorphism $f : M \to \widetilde{M}$ and a smooth function u on M such that

$$df \circ J_b = \widetilde{J}_b \circ df \text{ and } \ f^*\widetilde{\theta} = u\theta.$$

Furthermore (M, HM, J_b, θ) is called to be D-homothetic to $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{HM}, \widetilde{J}_b, \widetilde{\theta})$ if in addition u is constant.

On a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold, there exists a canonical connection preserving the complex structure and the Webster metric.

Proposition 2.3 ([25]; cf. also [7])**.** *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold. Let T be the characteristic direction and g_θ the Webster metric. Then there is a unique linear connection ∇ on M (called the Tanaka-Webster connection) such that:*

(1) The Levi distribution HM is parallel with respect to ∇;

(2) $\nabla J_b = 0$, $\nabla g_\theta = 0$;

(3) The torsion T_∇ of the connection ∇ satisfies that for any $X, Y \in HM$,

$$T_\nabla(X,Y) = 2d\theta(X,Y)T \text{ and } T_\nabla(T, J_bX) + J_bT_\nabla(T,X) = 0.$$

The pseudo-Hermitian torsion, denoted by τ, is the TM-valued 1-form defined by $\tau(X) = T_\nabla(T, X)$. A pseudo-Hermitian manifold is called Sasakian if $\tau \equiv 0$. Clearly, the D-homothetic transformation of a Sasakian manifold is also a Sasakian manifold.

Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension $2n+1$. Let $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n\}$ be a local orthonormal frame of $T_{1,0}M$ defined on an open set $U \subset M$, and $\{\theta^1, \ldots \theta^n\}$ its dual coframe. Note that $\tau(T_{1,0}M) \subset T_{0,1}M$ and τ is g_θ-symmetric (cf. [7]). Thus we can write $\tau\eta_\alpha = A_\alpha^{\bar\beta}\eta_{\bar\beta}$ for some local smooth functions $A_\alpha^{\bar\beta} : U \to \mathbb{C}$. Then the structure equations are given by

$$\begin{cases} d\theta = 2\sqrt{-1}\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^{\bar\alpha}, & (2.1)\\ d\theta^\alpha = \theta^\beta \wedge \theta_\beta^\alpha + \theta \wedge \tau^\alpha, & (2.2)\\ \theta_\beta^\alpha + \theta_{\bar\alpha}^{\bar\beta} = 0, & (2.3)\\ d\theta_\beta^\alpha = \theta_\beta^\gamma \wedge \theta_\gamma^\alpha + \Pi_\beta^\alpha & (2.4) \end{cases}$$

where θ_β^α's are the Tanaka-Webster connection 1-forms with respect to $\{\eta_\alpha\}$ and $\tau^\alpha = A_{\bar\beta}^\alpha\theta^{\bar\beta}$. In [25], S. M. Webster showed that

$$\Pi_\beta^\alpha = 2\sqrt{-1}(\theta^\alpha \wedge \tau_\beta + \theta_\beta \wedge \tau^\alpha) + R_{\beta\lambda\bar\mu}^\alpha\theta^\lambda \wedge \theta^{\bar\mu} + A_{\bar\mu,\beta}^\alpha\theta \wedge \theta^{\bar\mu} - A_{\mu\beta,}{}^\alpha\theta \wedge \theta^\mu \tag{2.5}$$

where $R_{\beta\lambda\bar\mu}^\alpha$ is called the Webster curvature. He also derived the first Bianchi identity, i.e. $R_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu} = R_{\bar\alpha\lambda\beta\bar\mu}$. So the pseudo-Hermitian Ricci curvature can be defined by $R_{\lambda\bar\mu} = R_{\bar\alpha\alpha\lambda\bar\mu}$ and then the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature is $\rho = R_{\alpha\bar\alpha} = R_{\bar\beta\beta\alpha\bar\alpha}$. If $R_{\lambda\bar\mu} = \frac{\rho}{n}\delta_{\lambda\bar\mu}$, (M, HM, J_b, θ) is called a pseudo-Einstein manifold. To characterize the pseudo-Einstein manifolds, the traceless pseudo-Hermitian Ricci tensor is defined by $E_{\alpha\bar\beta} = E_{\bar\beta\alpha} = R_{\alpha\bar\beta} - \frac{\rho}{n}$. Clearly (M, HM, J_b, θ) is pseudo-Einstein if and only if $E = 0$. From (2.5), the pseudo-Hermitian torsion and its covariant derivative reflect partial information about the curvature tensor of the Tanaka-Webster connection. The second Bianchi identities were given by J. M. Lee in Lemma 2.2 of [19].

Lemma 2.4 (Second Bianchi identities, [19])**.** *The Webster curvature satisfies the following identities*

$$R_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu,\gamma} - R_{\bar\alpha\beta\gamma\bar\mu,\lambda} = 2\sqrt{-1}(A_{\beta\gamma,\bar\mu}\delta_{\bar\alpha\lambda} + A_{\gamma\beta,\bar\alpha}\delta_{\lambda\bar\mu} - A_{\beta\lambda,\bar\mu}\delta_{\bar\alpha\gamma} - A_{\lambda\beta,\bar\alpha}\delta_{\gamma\bar\mu}), \tag{2.6}$$

$$R_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu,0} = A_{\lambda\beta,\bar\alpha\bar\mu} + A_{\bar\alpha\bar\mu,\beta\lambda} + 2\sqrt{-1}(A_{\bar\alpha\bar\gamma}A_{\gamma\lambda}\delta_{\beta\bar\mu} - A_{\beta\gamma}A_{\bar\gamma\bar\mu}\delta_{\bar\alpha\lambda}), \tag{2.7}$$

and the contracted identities:

$$R_{\lambda\bar{\mu},\gamma} - R_{\gamma\bar{\mu},\lambda} = 2\sqrt{-1}(A_{\gamma\alpha,\bar{\alpha}}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} - A_{\lambda\alpha,\bar{\alpha}}\delta_{\gamma\bar{\mu}}), \tag{2.8}$$

$$\rho_\lambda - R_{\lambda\bar{\mu},\mu} = 2\sqrt{-1}(n-1)A_{\lambda\mu,\bar{\mu}}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$R_{\lambda\bar{\mu},0} = A_{\lambda\alpha,\bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}} + A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu},\alpha\lambda}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\rho_0 = A_{\lambda\alpha,\bar{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}} + A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\lambda},\alpha\lambda}. \tag{2.11}$$

In [25], S. M. Webster introduced a pseudo-Hermitian analogue of the notion of holomorphic sectional curvature in Hermitian geometry. For consistency, we recall it in the terminology of [7]. Given $x \in M$, let $G_1(HM)_x$ consist of all 2-planes $\sigma = span\{X, J_bX\} \subset T_xM$ for all $X \in H_xM$. Then $G_1(HM)$ (the disjoint union of all $G_1(HM)_x$) is a fiber bundle over M with the standard fiber $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. Define a function $k_\theta : G_1(HM) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$k_\theta(\sigma) = \frac{1}{4}\frac{g_\theta\big(R(X, J_bX)J_bX, X\big)}{g_\theta(X,X)^2}$$

for any $\sigma \in G_1(HM)$ spanned by X and J_bX. Actually if $Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X - \sqrt{-1}J_bX)$, then

$$k_\theta(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{4}\frac{g_\theta(R(Z,\overline{Z})\overline{Z}, Z)}{g_\theta(Z,\overline{Z})^2}$$

This function k_θ is referred to as the pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature of (M, HM, J_b, θ). Clearly if $R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}} = 2\kappa(\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}\delta_{\beta\bar{\mu}})$ for some constant κ, then (M, HM, J_b, θ) has constant pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature κ. As a consequence of Theorem 1.6 in [7], the Riemannian curvature R^θ and the Webster curvature R of a Sasakian manifold have the following connection: for any $X, Y, Z \in TM$,

$$\begin{aligned} R^\theta(X,Y)Z =& R(X,Y)Z + g_\theta(JX,Z)JY - g_\theta(JY,Z)JX + 2d\theta(X,Y)JZ \\ &+ \theta(X)g_\theta(Y,Z)T - \theta(Y)g_\theta(X,Z)T - \theta(Z)\theta(X)Y + \theta(Z)\theta(Y)X \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

which gives the relationship between the Riemannian Ricci curvature Ric^θ and the pseudo-Hermitian Ricci curvature:

$$Ric^\theta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - 2\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, \quad Ric^\theta_{\alpha\beta} = Ric^\theta_{\alpha 0} = 0, \quad \text{and } Ric^\theta_{00} = 2n. \tag{2.13}$$

From (2.12), if a Sasakian manifold has constant pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature κ, it has constant J_b-sectional curvature $4\kappa - 3$ in the terminology of [2, 4]. Such manifold is called Sasakian space form. The following theorem of Tanno [24] gives the classification of Sasakian space forms.

Lemma 2.5 ([24]; also cf. [2, p. 142] and [4, p. 229])**.** *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a complete simply connected Sasakian manifold with constant pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature κ. Then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is one of the following:*

1. *if* $\kappa > 0$, (M, HM, J_b, θ) *is D-homothetic to* S^{2n+1}*;*

2. *if* $\kappa = 0$, (M, HM, J_b, θ) *is D-homothetic to* $\mathbb{H}^n$*;*

3. *if* $\kappa < 0$, (M, HM, J_b, θ) *is D-homothetic to* $B^n_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{R}$*.*

By studying the CR conformal transformations, the authors in [5, 25] found an important tensor called Chern-Moser tensor, which plays the role analogous to Weyl tensor in Riemannian geometry. It is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}} =& R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}} - \frac{1}{n+2}(E_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + E_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}\delta_{\beta\bar{\mu}} + \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}E_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}E_{\beta\bar{\mu}}) \\ & - \frac{\rho}{n(n+1)}(\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}\delta_{\beta\bar{\mu}}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

which is the projection of the Webster curvature into the traceless subspace. Moreover under a CR conformal transformation $\tilde{\theta} = e^{2u}\theta$ for some $u \in C^\infty(M)$, the new Chern-Moser tensor and the original one differ by a conformal factor, i.e. $\tilde{C} = e^{-2u}C$. Hence

$$||C||_{L^{n+1}(M)} = \left(\int_M |C|^{n+1}\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

is a CR conformal invariant. It is known that if the Chern-Moser tensor vanishes and $2n+1 \geq 5$, the strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold is locally CR isomorphic to the unit sphere $S^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Such manifold is called spherical CR manifold. Clearly a Sasakian manifold is a Sasakian space form if and only if it is spherical and pseudo-Einstein. In Sasakian geometry, the Chern-Moser tensor agrees with the contact Bochner curvature tensor defined in [14].

Since the scalar curvature of an Einstein manifold is constant, it is natural to ask whether this property is still true for the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature of a pseudo-Einstein manifold. We may show that the answer is affirmative for some special case.

Lemma 2.6. *Let* (M, HM, J_b, θ) *be a pseudo-Einstein manifold of dimension* $2n + 1 \geq 5$*. If the divergence of the pseudo-Hermitian torsion vanishes, the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature is constant.*

Proof. Since (M, HM, J_b, θ) is pseudo-Einstein, then $R_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} = \frac{\rho}{n}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}$. Since the divergence of the pseudo-Hermitian torsion vanishes and $n \geq 2$, by (2.9), we have

$$0 = \rho_\lambda - R_{\lambda\bar{\mu},\mu} = \rho_\lambda - \frac{1}{n}\rho_\lambda$$

which implies $\rho_\lambda = 0$. Moreover (2.11) yields $\rho_0 = 0$. Hence ρ is constant. □

However, the pseudo-Einstein condition does not imply the constancy of the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature in general, even if the Chern-Moser tensor vanishes. Before giving a counter-example, let us recall the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7 ([19]). *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold. Under the CR conformal transformation $\tilde{\theta} = e^{2u}\theta$ for some $u \in C^\infty(M)$, the pseudo-Hermitian torsion and the pseudo-Hermitian curvature transform as follows:*

$$\tilde{A}_{\alpha\beta} = e^{-2u}\big(A_{\alpha\beta} + \sqrt{-1}u_{\alpha\beta} - 2\sqrt{-1}u_\alpha u_\beta\big)$$

and

$$e^{2u}\tilde{R}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} = R_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} - (n+2)(u_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + u_{\bar{\mu}\lambda}) - \delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}\big(u_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} + u_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha} + 4(n+1)u_\alpha u_{\bar{\alpha}}\big)$$
$$e^{2u}\tilde{\rho} = \rho - 2(n+1)(u_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} + u_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha}) - 4(n+1)u_\alpha u_{\bar{\alpha}}$$

where the covariant derivatives of u are computed with respect to the original pseudo-Hermitian structure (M, HM, J_b, θ) and $\tilde{A}_{\lambda\mu}$, $\tilde{R}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}$ are evaluated with respect to the new coframe $\tilde{\theta}^\alpha = e^u(\theta^\alpha + \sqrt{-1}u^\alpha\theta)$.

Example 2.8. Let $(\mathbb{H}^n, H\mathbb{H}^n, J_b, \theta)$ be the Heisenberg group. Under the standard coordinates (z, t) in $\mathbb{H}^n \cong \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\theta = dt + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \sqrt{-1}\big(z^\alpha d\bar{z}^\alpha - \bar{z}^\alpha dz^\alpha\big), \text{ and } d\theta = 2\sqrt{-1}dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\alpha.$$

One may choose the following frame and coframe fields

$$\eta_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} + \sqrt{-1}\bar{z}^\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \text{and } \theta^\alpha = dz^\alpha.$$

Since $d\theta^\alpha = dd\bar{z}^\alpha = 0$, the connection coefficients $\theta^\alpha_\beta = 0$ and the pseudo-Hermitian torsion $\tau = 0$. It is easy to verify that the pseudo-Hermitian Ricci curvature, the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature and the Chern-Moser tensor of the Heisenberg group all vanish

Now we consider its CR conformal transformation $\tilde{\theta} = e^{2u}\theta$ with $u(z,t) = |z|^2$. By Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\tilde{A}_{\lambda\mu} = -2\sqrt{-1}\bar{z}^\lambda\bar{z}^\mu e^{-2|z|^2}$$

and

$$\tilde{R}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} = -4(n+1)(1+|z|^2)e^{-2|z|^2}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}},$$
$$\tilde{\rho} = -4n(n+1)(1+|z|^2)e^{-2|z|^2}.$$

Hence the pseudo-Hermitian manifold $(\mathbb{H}^n, H\mathbb{H}^n, J_b, \tilde{\theta})$ is pseudo-Einstein too, but non-Sasakian. Since the Chern-Moser tensor changes conformally under the CR conformal transformation, the new Chern-Moser tensor also vanishes. However the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature of $\tilde{\theta}$ is nonconstant.

A useful tool in Riemannian geometry is the Ricci identity for commuting covariant derivatives. Naturally we need a similar formula for the Tanaka-Webster connection.

Lemma 2.9 (Ricci Identities)**.**

$$R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\lambda\bar{\mu}} - R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\bar{\mu}\lambda} = R_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}R_{\bar{\gamma}\alpha\lambda\bar{\mu}} + R_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}R_{\gamma\bar{\beta}\lambda\bar{\mu}} + 2\sqrt{-1}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},0} \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\varsigma\bar{\mu},\lambda\bar{\gamma}} - C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\varsigma\bar{\mu},\bar{\gamma}\lambda} &= C_{\bar{\nu}\beta\varsigma\bar{\mu}}R_{\nu\bar{\alpha}\lambda\bar{\gamma}} + C_{\bar{\alpha}\nu\varsigma\bar{\mu}}R_{\bar{\nu}\beta\lambda\bar{\gamma}} \\ &\quad + C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\nu\bar{\mu}}R_{\bar{\nu}\varsigma\lambda\bar{\gamma}} + C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\varsigma\bar{\nu}}R_{\nu\bar{\mu}\lambda\bar{\gamma}} + 2\sqrt{-1}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\gamma}}C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\varsigma\bar{\mu},0}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

The above results are consequences of the following general identity: for any $\sigma \in \Gamma(\otimes^q T^*M)$ and $X,\ Y,\ X_1, \ldots, X_q \in \Gamma(TM)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(\nabla^2\sigma\big)(X_1,\ldots,X_p;Y,X) - \big(\nabla^2\sigma\big)(X_1,\ldots,X_p;X,Y) \\ &= -\big(R(Y,X)\sigma\big)(X_1,\ldots,X_p) + \big(\nabla_{T\nabla(Y,X)}\sigma\big)(X_1,\ldots,X_p) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{q}\sigma\big(X_1,\ldots,R(Y,X)X_i,\ldots,X_q\big) + \big(\nabla_{T\nabla(Y,X)}\sigma\big)(X_1,\ldots,X_p). \end{aligned}$$

Analogous to the Laplace operator in Riemannian geometry, there is a degenerate elliptic operator in CR geometry which is called sub-Laplace operator. Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension $2n+1$. The nowhere vanishing form $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$ defines a volume form of M. Hence the divergence $div(X)$ of a vector field X is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_X\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n = div(X)\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the Lie derivative.

Definition 2.10. The sub-Laplace operator is the differential operator $\triangle_b$ defined by

$$\triangle_b u = div(\nabla_b u), \quad \text{for any } \ u \in C^\infty(M)$$

where $\nabla_b u = \pi_H \nabla u$ is the horizontal part of ∇u.

Lemma 2.11 ([7])**.** *Under the above notions, we have*

$$\triangle_b u = u_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} + u_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha}, \quad \textit{for any } \ u \in C^\infty(M).$$

The sub-Laplace operator enjoys a similar maximum principle as the Laplace operator.

Lemma 2.12 (Bony's Maximum Principle [3]; also cf. [17])**.** *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold and Ω an open set of M. Suppose that $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ satisfies $\triangle_b u \geq 0$. If there exists $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that $0 \leq u(x_0) = \sup_\Omega u < +\infty$, then $u \equiv u(x_0)$ on Ω. In particular, if M is compact, then u must be constant.*

Since (M, g_θ) is also a Riemannian manifold, we denote by $\triangle$ its Laplace operator, r the Riemannian distance function to a fixed point $x_0 \in M$ and B_r the ball of radius r centered at x_0.

3 A general gap theorems for subelliptic differential inequalities

In this section, we establish a general gap result for a special class of subelliptic differential inequalities on a complete pseudo-Hermitian manifold; this result will be applied to get some rigidity theorems of Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifolds and Sasakian space forms later. Our method is basically a partial process of the Moser's iteration which is similar to that one used in [21] for some elliptic differential inequalities.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a complete noncompact strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold and assume that, for some $0 < \alpha < 1$ and some function h, the CR Sobolev-type inequality*

$$\int_M (|\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + h\varphi^2) \geq S(\alpha)^{-1} \left(\int_M |\varphi|^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} \right)^{1-\alpha} \tag{3.1}$$

holds for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ with a positive constant $S(\alpha) > 0$. Suppose that $0 \neq \psi \in Lip_{loc}(M)$ is a nonnegative solution of

$$\psi \triangle_b \psi + a(x)\psi^2 + B|\nabla_b \psi|^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{weakly on } M \tag{3.2}$$

satisfying

$$\int_{B_r} |\psi|^\sigma = o(r^2) \quad as \quad r \to +\infty \tag{3.3}$$

with $B \in \mathbb{R},\ \sigma - B - 1 > 0,\ \sigma \geq 2$, and $a(x) \in C^0(M)$. Then for any $\delta > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < \sigma - B - 1$, we have

$$\left|\left| (a(x) + C_{\delta,\epsilon} h)_+ \right|\right|_{L^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(M)} \geq C_{\delta,\epsilon} S(\alpha)^{-1} \tag{3.4}$$

where $C_{\delta,\epsilon} = 4\sigma^{-2}(1+\delta)^{-1}(\sigma - B - 1 - \epsilon)$.

Proof. By (3.2), we know that for any nonnegative test function $\chi \in W_c^{1,2}(M)$,

$$\int_M a\psi^2\chi \geq \int_M \psi \langle \nabla_b \psi, \nabla_b \chi \rangle + (1 - B)\chi |\nabla_b \psi|^2.$$

Let $\phi_r \in C_c^\infty(B_{2r})$ be a family of cutoff functions with

$$\phi_r = 1 \quad \text{on } B_r, \quad \text{and } |\nabla \phi_r| \leq \frac{2}{r} \quad \text{on } M$$

which implies $|\nabla_b \phi_r| \leq |\nabla \phi_r| \leq \frac{2}{r}$ on M. Fix $\eta > 0$. Then the test function $\chi = (\psi + \eta)^{\sigma-2}\ \phi_r^2$ is a Lipschitz function. Applying the CR Sobolev-type inequality (3.1) to it, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M a\psi^2(\psi+\eta)^{\sigma-2}\phi_r^2 \geq & \int_M 2(\psi+\eta)^{\sigma-2}\phi_r \psi \langle \nabla_b \psi, \nabla_b \phi_r \rangle \\ & + \int_M \left[(1-B) + (\sigma-2)\frac{\psi}{\psi+\eta} \right] (\psi+\eta)^{\sigma-2}\phi_r^2 |\nabla_b \psi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and letting $\eta \to 0$, the above inequality becomes

$$\int_M a\psi^\sigma \phi_r^2 \geq \int_M 2\psi^{\sigma-1}\phi_r \langle \nabla_b \psi, \nabla_b \phi_r\rangle + \int_M [(1-B)+(\sigma-2)]\psi^{\sigma-2}\phi_r^2 |\nabla_b \psi|^2.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$(\sigma - B - 1 - \epsilon)\int_M \phi_r^2 \psi^{\sigma-2}|\nabla_b \psi|^2 \leq \int_M a\psi^\sigma \phi_r^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_M \psi^\sigma |\nabla_b \phi_r|^2.$$

When $\sigma \geq 2$, $\psi^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\phi_r$ is a Lipschitz function. Applying the CR Sobolev-type inequality (3.1) to it, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha)^{-1}\left(\int_M (\psi^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\phi_r)^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\right)^{1-\alpha} &\leq \int_M |\nabla_b(\psi^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\phi_r)|^2 + h\phi_r^2\psi^\sigma \\ &\leq (1+\delta)\frac{\sigma^2}{4}\int_M \psi^{\sigma-2}\phi_r^2|\nabla_b\psi|^2 + (1+\frac{1}{\delta})\int_M \psi^\sigma|\nabla_b\phi_r|^2 + \int_M h\phi_r^2\psi^\sigma \\ &\leq C_{\delta,\epsilon}^{-1}\int_M (a+hC_{\delta,\epsilon})_+\psi^\sigma\phi_r^2 + (C_{\delta,\epsilon}^{-1}\epsilon^{-1}+1+\frac{1}{\delta})\int_M \psi^\sigma|\nabla_b\phi_r|^2 \qquad (3.5) \end{aligned}$$

where $(a+hC_{\delta,\epsilon})_+$ is the nonnegative part of $a+hC_{\delta,\epsilon}$ and

$$C_{\delta,\epsilon} = \frac{4}{\sigma^2}\frac{\sigma - B - 1 - \epsilon}{1+\delta}.$$

But Hölder's inequality implies that

$$\int_M (a+hC_{\delta,\epsilon})_+\psi^\sigma\phi_r^2 \leq ||(a+hC_{\delta,\epsilon})_+||_{L^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(B_{2r})}\left(\int_M (\psi^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\phi_r)^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\right)^{1-\alpha}.$$

Substituting the above inequality to (3.5), then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[S(\alpha)^{-1} - C_{\delta,\epsilon}^{-1}||(a+hC_{\delta,\epsilon})_+||_{L^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(B_{2r})}\right]\left(\int_M (\psi^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\phi_r)^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}\right)^{1-\alpha} \\ &\quad \leq (C_{\delta,\epsilon}^{-1}\epsilon^{-1}+1+\frac{1}{\delta})\int_M \psi^\sigma|\nabla_b\phi_r|^2 \leq (C_{\delta,\epsilon}^{-1}\epsilon^{-1}+1+\frac{1}{\delta})\frac{4}{r^2}\int_{B_{2r}}\psi^\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi \neq 0$, we let $r \to +\infty$ and use the assumption (3.3) to find

$$||(a+hC_{\delta,\epsilon})_+||_{L^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(M)} \geq S(\alpha)^{-1}C_{\delta,\epsilon}.$$

□

Remark 3.2. One can also obtain a similar result for $0 < \sigma < 2$ by using the method in [21]. Since we do not need it in this paper, we omit its details here.

In [16], D. Jerison and J. M. Lee introduced the following CR Yamabe constant

$$\lambda(M) = \inf_{\substack{0\neq u\in C^\infty(M)\\ supp\ u\Subset M}} \frac{\int_M (b_n|\nabla_b u|^2 + \rho u^2)\theta\wedge(d\theta)^n}{(\int_M |u|^p\theta\wedge(d\theta)^n)^{\frac{2}{p}}} \tag{3.6}$$

where $b_n = p = 2 + \frac{2}{n}$. Hence if $\lambda(M)$ is positive, it provides a class of CR Sobolev-type inequality (3.1) with

$$h = \frac{n}{2n+2}\rho,\ \alpha = \frac{1}{n+1} \text{ and } S(\alpha) = (2+\frac{2}{n})\lambda(M)^{-1}.$$

It is known that the CR Yamabe constant of Heisenberg group and the odd dimension sphere are positive (cf. [7, 16]). Combining (3.6) with Proposition 3.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a complete noncompact strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold with positive CR Yamabe constant. Suppose that $\psi \in Lip_{loc}(M)$ is a nonnegative solution of*

$$\psi\triangle_b\psi + a(x)\psi^2 + B|\nabla_b\psi|^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{weakly on } M \tag{3.7}$$

satisfying

$$\int_{B_r} |\psi|^\sigma = o(r^2) \quad as \quad r\to+\infty \tag{3.8}$$

with $B\in\mathbb{R},\ \sigma - B - 1 > 0,\ \sigma \geq 2$, and $a(x)\in C^0(M)$. If there are $\delta > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < \sigma - B - 1$ such that

$$\left|\left|\left(a(x) + \tilde{C}_{\delta,\epsilon}\rho\right)_+\right|\right|_{L^{n+1}(M)} < \tilde{C}_{\delta,\epsilon}\lambda(M) \tag{3.9}$$

where $\tilde{C}_{\delta,\epsilon} = \frac{2n}{n+1}\frac{\sigma-B-1-\epsilon}{\sigma^2(1+\delta)}$, then $\psi\equiv 0$.

4 Rigidity Theorems of Pseudo-Einstein manifolds

In this section, we consider a complete Sasakian manifold with constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. First, under some suitable L^p conditions or pinching conditions on the Chern-Moser tensor and the traceless pseudo-Hermitian Ricci tensor, we prove that such Sasakian manifolds must be pseudo-Einstein. Second, we use the maximum principle to prove that when the Chern-Moser tensor and the traceless pseudo-Hermitian Ricci tensor satisfy some L^∞ pinching condition, then (M, HM, J_b, θ) must be pseudo-Einstein too. Finally, we give a simple proof to show that if a compact Sasakian manifold has constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature and quasi-positive orthogonal pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature, then it is pseudo-Einstein.

Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a $(2n+1)$-Sasakian manifold with constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. Since the pseudo-Hermitian torsion vanishes, the contracted identity (2.10) implies that $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},0} = 0$. Hence (2.15) yields

$$E_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\lambda\bar{\mu}} - E_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\bar{\mu}\lambda} = E_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} R_{\bar{\gamma}\alpha\lambda\bar{\mu}} + E_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} R_{\gamma\bar{\beta}\lambda\bar{\mu}}. \tag{4.1}$$

The Codazzi equation, i.e. $E_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma} = E_{\gamma\bar{\beta},\alpha}$, follows from (2.8) and the constancy of the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. By direct calculations, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\triangle_b |E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2 = 2E_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma} E_{\bar{\alpha}\beta,\bar{\gamma}} + E_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma\bar{\gamma}} E_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} + \overline{E_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma\bar{\gamma}} E_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma\bar{\gamma}} &= E_{\gamma\bar{\beta},\alpha\bar{\gamma}} = E_{\gamma\bar{\beta},\bar{\gamma}\alpha} + E_{\lambda\bar{\beta}} R_{\bar{\lambda}\gamma\alpha\bar{\gamma}} + E_{\gamma\bar{\lambda}} R_{\lambda\bar{\beta}\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \\ &= E_{\lambda\bar{\beta}} R_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} + E_{\gamma\bar{\lambda}} R_{\lambda\bar{\beta}\alpha\bar{\gamma}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Substituting (4.3) and (2.14) into (4.2), we find

$$\frac{1}{2}\triangle_b |E|^2 = |\nabla_b E|^2 + \frac{2n}{n+2} tr_{G_\theta} E^3 - 4E_{\gamma\bar{\lambda}} C_{\bar{\beta}\lambda\alpha\bar{\gamma}} E_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} + \frac{2\rho}{n+1}|E|^2 \tag{4.4}$$

where $\nabla_b E$ is the horizontal part of ∇E and $tr_{G_\theta} E^3 = 2E_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} E_{\lambda\bar{\beta}} E_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}$. We use the method in [12] to obtain the Kato inequality of the traceless pseudo-Hermitian Ricci tensor E.

Lemma 4.1. *If (M, HM, J, θ) is a Sasakian manifold of dimension $2n+1 \geq 5$ and with constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature, then*

$$\frac{1}{4}|\nabla_b |E|^2|^2 \leq \frac{n}{n+1}|E|^2 |\nabla_b E|^2. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Since $(E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ is a Hermitian matrix, we can choose some proper orthonormal basis $\{\theta^\alpha\}$ such that $(E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ is diagonal at a given point and assume that $\{\lambda_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n$ are the eigenvalues. Hence we can calculate that

$$\big|\nabla_b |E|^2\big|^2 = 32 \sum_\gamma \Big| \sum_{\alpha,\beta} E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} E_{\bar{\alpha}\beta,\gamma}\Big|^2 = 32 \sum_\gamma \Big| \sum_\alpha E_{\alpha\bar{\alpha},\gamma} E_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha}\Big|^2$$

On the other hand, by the Codazzi equation for E, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_b E|^2 = 4 \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} |E_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma}|^2 &\geq 4 \sum_\gamma \left(|E_{\gamma\bar{\gamma},\gamma}|^2 + \sum_{\alpha\neq\gamma} |E_{\alpha\bar{\alpha},\gamma}|^2 + \sum_{\alpha\neq\gamma} |E_{\alpha\bar{\gamma},\gamma}|^2 \right) \\ &= 4 \sum_\gamma \left(|E_{\gamma\bar{\gamma},\gamma}|^2 + 2\sum_{\alpha\neq\gamma} |E_{\alpha\bar{\alpha},\gamma}|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus to prove (4.5), we only need to demonstrate that for any γ,

$$\left| \sum_\alpha E_{\alpha\bar{\alpha},\gamma} E_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha} \right|^2 \leq \frac{n}{n+1} \left(\sum_\alpha |E_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}|^2 \right) \left(|E_{\gamma\bar{\gamma},\gamma}|^2 + 2\sum_{\alpha\neq\gamma} |E_{\alpha\bar{\alpha},\gamma}|^2 \right)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\big|\sum_{\alpha}\lambda_\alpha\mu_\alpha^\gamma\big|^2 \le \frac{n}{n+1}\Big(\sum_{\alpha}|\lambda_\alpha|^2\Big)\Big(|\mu_\gamma^\gamma|^2+2\sum_{\alpha\neq\gamma}|\mu_\alpha^\gamma|^2\Big) \tag{4.6}$$

where $\mu_\alpha^\gamma = E_{\alpha\bar\alpha,\gamma}$. But since E is traceless, we know that $\sum_\alpha \mu_\alpha^\gamma = 0$ and thus for any γ,

$$\begin{aligned}|\mu_\gamma^\gamma|^2+2\sum_{\alpha\neq\gamma}|\mu_\alpha^\gamma|^2 =&|\mu_\gamma^\gamma|^2+\frac{n-1}{n}\sum_{\alpha\neq\gamma}|\mu_\alpha^\gamma|^2+\frac{n+1}{n}\sum_{\alpha\neq\gamma}|\mu_\alpha^\gamma|^2\\ \ge&|\mu_\gamma^\gamma|^2+\frac{1}{n}\big|\sum_{\alpha\neq\gamma}\mu_\alpha^\gamma\big|^2+\frac{n+1}{n}\sum_{\alpha\neq\gamma}|\mu_\alpha^\gamma|^2=\frac{n+1}{n}\sum_{\alpha}|\mu_\alpha^\gamma|^2\end{aligned}$$

which yields (4.6) on account of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. This completes the proof. □

The second term on the right side of (4.4) can be estimated by Okumura's result:

Lemma 4.2 ([20]). *Let $a_i,\ i=1,\dots,m$ be real numbers satisfying*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = 0, \quad \textit{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 = k^2$$

Then we have

$$-\frac{m-2}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}k^3 \le \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^3 \le \frac{m-2}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}k^3$$

By this lemma, we obtain

$$\Big|\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}E_{\alpha\bar\lambda}E_{\lambda\bar\beta}E_{\bar\alpha\beta}\Big| \le \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|E|^3. \tag{4.7}$$

Now we use the method in [8] to estimate the third term on the right side of (4.4).

Lemma 4.3.

$$\Big|\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\gamma}E_{\gamma\bar\lambda}C_{\bar\beta\lambda\alpha\bar\gamma}E_{\bar\alpha\beta}\Big| \le \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{2n^2+4n+3}{2(n+1)(n+2)}}|E|^2|C|. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. We denote

$$\begin{aligned}F =&(E_{\bar\alpha\beta}E_{\lambda\bar\mu}+E_{\bar\alpha\lambda}E_{\beta\bar\mu})\theta^{\bar\alpha}\otimes\theta^\beta\otimes\theta^\lambda\otimes\theta^{\bar\mu}\\ &+(E_{\alpha\bar\beta}E_{\bar\lambda\mu}+E_{\alpha\bar\lambda}E_{\bar\beta\mu})\theta^\alpha\otimes\theta^{\bar\beta}\otimes\theta^{\bar\lambda}\otimes\theta^\mu\\ &-(E_{\bar\beta\alpha}E_{\lambda\bar\mu}+E_{\bar\beta\lambda}E_{\alpha\bar\mu})\theta^\alpha\otimes\theta^{\bar\beta}\otimes\theta^\lambda\otimes\theta^{\bar\mu}\\ &-(E_{\beta\bar\alpha}E_{\bar\lambda\mu}+E_{\beta\bar\lambda}E_{\bar\alpha\mu})\theta^{\bar\alpha}\otimes\theta^\beta\otimes\theta^{\bar\lambda}\otimes\theta^\mu.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the tensor F satisfies all algebraic properties of the Webster curvature, such as

$$F_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}} = -F_{\beta\bar{\alpha}\lambda\bar{\mu}} = -F_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\mu}\lambda}, \quad F_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}} = F_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda\beta\bar{\mu}}.$$

Therefore it can be decomposed into three orthogonal parts, i.e. $F = T + P + Q$ where T, P and Q are the traceless part, the "partial trace" part and the "total trace" part of F respectively. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}} &= \frac{1}{n+2}\big(\tilde{F}_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + \tilde{F}_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}\delta_{\beta\bar{\mu}} + \tilde{F}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} + \tilde{F}_{\beta\bar{\mu}}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\alpha}}\big), \\ Q_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}} &= \frac{f}{n(n+1)}\big(\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}\delta_{\beta\bar{\mu}}\big), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} = \tilde{F}_{\bar{\mu}\lambda} = F_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha\lambda\bar{\mu}} - \frac{f}{n}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} = E_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}E_{\alpha\bar{\mu}} - \frac{f}{n}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}, \\ f = F_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha\lambda\bar{\lambda}} = E_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}E_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{2}|E|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sum_\alpha \tilde{F}_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} = 0$. Since T, P, Q are mutually orthogonal, $|T|^2 = |F|^2 - |P|^2 - |Q|^2$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}|F|^2 = F_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}}F_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\bar{\lambda}\mu} =& (E_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}E_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + E_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}E_{\beta\bar{\mu}})(E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}E_{\bar{\lambda}\mu} + E_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}E_{\bar{\beta}\mu}) \\ =& \frac{1}{2}|E|^4 + 2Z \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}|P|^2 = P_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}}P_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\bar{\lambda}\mu} =& \frac{1}{(n+2)^2}\big(\tilde{F}_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + \tilde{F}_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}\delta_{\beta\bar{\mu}} + \tilde{F}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} + \tilde{F}_{\beta\bar{\mu}}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\alpha}}\big) \\ & \times \big(\tilde{F}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\delta_{\bar{\lambda}\mu} + \tilde{F}_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}\delta_{\bar{\beta}\mu} + \tilde{F}_{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + \tilde{F}_{\bar{\beta}\mu}\delta_{\bar{\lambda}\alpha}\big) \\ =& \frac{4}{n+2}\tilde{F}_{\bar{\mu}\lambda}\tilde{F}_{\mu\bar{\lambda}} \\ =& \frac{4}{n+2}\big(E_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}E_{\alpha\bar{\mu}} - \frac{f}{n}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}\big)\big(E_{\beta\bar{\lambda}}E_{\bar{\beta}\mu} - \frac{f}{n}\delta_{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\big) \\ =& \frac{4}{n+2}(Z - \frac{1}{4n}|E|^4) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}|Q|^2 = Q_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}}Q_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\bar{\lambda}\mu} =& \left(\frac{f}{n(n+1)}\right)^2\big(\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}\delta_{\beta\bar{\mu}}\big)\big(\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\delta_{\bar{\lambda}\mu} + \delta_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}\delta_{\bar{\beta}\mu}\big) \\ =& \frac{1}{2n(n+1)}|E|^4 \end{aligned}$$

where $Z = E_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}E_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}E_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}E_{\bar{\beta}\mu} = E_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}E_{\bar{\beta}\mu}E_{\bar{\mu}\lambda}E_{\bar{\lambda}\alpha}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4}|T|^2 =& \frac{1}{4}|F|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|P|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|Q|^2 = \frac{n^2+3n+3}{2(n+1)(n+2)}|E|^4 + \frac{2n}{n+2}Z \\ \leq& \frac{n^2+3n+3}{2(n+1)(n+2)}|E|^4 + \frac{n}{2(n+2)}|E|^4 = \frac{2n^2+4n+3}{2(n+1)(n+2)}|E|^4.\end{aligned}$$

Since $C_{\bar{\beta}\lambda\alpha\bar{\mu}} = C_{\bar{\mu}\lambda\alpha\bar{\beta}}$, we can complete the proof by

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\gamma} E_{\mu\bar{\lambda}}C_{\bar{\beta}\lambda\alpha\bar{\mu}}E_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}\Big| =& \frac{1}{8}\langle F, C\rangle = \frac{1}{8}\langle T, C\rangle \leq \frac{1}{8}|T||C| \\ \leq& \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{2n^2+4n+3}{2(n+1)(n+2)}}|E|^2|C|.\end{aligned}$$

□

Substituting (4.5) (4.7) and (4.8) into (4.4), we have

Lemma 4.4. *If (M, HM, J_b, θ) is a Sasakian manifold with constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature and the dimension $2n+1 \geq 5$, the traceless pseudo-Hermitian Ricci tensor satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{2}\triangle_b|E|^2 + \left(b(x) - \frac{2\rho}{n+1}\right)|E|^2 - |\nabla_b E|^2 \geq 0, \quad \text{on } M \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$|E|\triangle_b|E| + \left(b(x) - \frac{2\rho}{n+1}\right)|E|^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla_b|E||^2 \geq 0, \quad \text{weakly on } M \tag{4.10}$$

where $b(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2n^2+4n+3}{(n+1)(n+2)}}(|E| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|C|)$.

Now we apply Corollary 3.3 to (4.10) and get the following theorem

Theorem 4.5. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a complete noncompact Sasakian manifold with zero pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature, positive CR Yamabe constant and the dimension $2n+1 \geq 5$. Assume that*

$$\int_{B_r} |E|^\sigma \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n = o(r^2), \quad \text{as } r \to \infty \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}||C||_{L^{n+1}(M)} + ||E||_{L^{n+1}(M)} < \frac{2n\sigma - 2n + 2}{\sigma^2\sqrt{n+1}}\sqrt{\frac{n+2}{2n^2+4n+3}}\lambda(M) \tag{4.12}$$

where $\sigma \geq 2$. Then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is a pseudo-Einstein manifold. Actually it is pseudo-Hermitian Ricci-flat.

Proof. Since the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature is zero, by (4.10), $|E|$ satisfies

$$|E|\triangle_b|E| + b(x)|E|^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla_b|E||^2 \geq 0, \quad \text{weakly on } \ M.$$

On the other hand, the assumption (4.12) yields

$$||b(x)||_{L^{n+1}(M)} < \frac{2n\sigma - 2n + 2}{\sigma^2(n+1)}\lambda(M).$$

It guarantees the existence of sufficiently small ϵ and δ such that $\delta > 0$, $0 < \epsilon < \sigma + \frac{1}{n} - 1$ and

$$||b(x)||_{L^{n+1}(M)} < \tilde{C}_{\delta,\epsilon}\lambda(M)$$

where $\tilde{C}_{\delta,\epsilon} = \frac{2n}{n+1}\frac{\sigma+\frac{1}{n}-1-\epsilon}{\sigma^2(1+\delta)}$. Hence using Corollary 3.3 with

$$\psi = |E|, \quad a(x) = b(x), \quad \text{and } \ B = -\frac{1}{n},$$

we conclude that $|E| = 0$. □

The most interesting case is $\sigma = n + 1$ since the L^{n+1} norm of the Chern-Moser tensor is a CR conformal invariant and in this case, the conditions (4.11), (4.12) merge into one.

Theorem 4.6. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a complete noncompact Sasakian manifold with zero pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature, positive CR Yamabe constant and the dimension $2n + 1 \geq 5$. Assume that*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}||C||_{L^{n+1}(M)} + ||E||_{L^{n+1}(M)} < \frac{2n^2+2}{(n+1)^{\frac{5}{2}}}\sqrt{\frac{n+2}{2n^2+4n+3}}\lambda(M).$$

Then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is a pseudo-Hermitian Ricci-flat manifold.

If the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature is negative, the result and the corresponding proof are similar.

Theorem 4.7. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a complete noncompact Sasakian manifold with constant negative pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature, positive CR Yamabe constant and the dimension $2n + 1 \geq 5$. Assume that*

$$\int_{B_r} |E|^\sigma \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n = o(r^2), \quad \text{as } r \to \infty \tag{4.13}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}||C||_{L^{n+1}(M)} + ||E||_{L^{n+1}(M)} < \frac{2n\sigma - 2n + 2}{\sigma^2\sqrt{n+1}}\sqrt{\frac{n+2}{2n^2+4n+3}}\lambda(M) \tag{4.14}$$

where $2 \leq \sigma < n - 1$. Then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is a pseudo-Einstein manifold.

Before introducing the case of positive pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature, we recall a variation of Myers' theorem due to I. Hasegawa and M. Seino [11]. Since the proof is simple, we provided it here for completeness.

Lemma 4.8. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a Sasakian manifold with positive pseudo-Hermitian Ricci curvature, that is $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \geq c\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ for some positive constant c. Then M is compact with finite fundamental group.*

Proof. We consider the D-homothetic transformation $\tilde{\theta} = \lambda\theta$ for some positive constant λ. At this time, $(M, HM, J_b, \tilde{\theta})$ is also a Sasakian manifold. Moreover Lemma 2.7 yields that its pseudo-Hermitian Ricci curvature $\tilde{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \lambda^{-1}R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$. Hence by (2.13), its Riemannian Ricci curvature $\widetilde{Ric}^{\theta}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{Ric}^{\theta}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} &= \lambda^{-1}R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - 2\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \geq (c\lambda^{-1} - 2)\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}},\\ \widetilde{Ric}^{\theta}_{\alpha\beta} &= \widetilde{Ric}^{\theta}_{\alpha 0} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{Ric}^{\theta}_{00} = 2n.\end{aligned}$$

By choosing sufficiently small λ, the Riemannian Ricci curvature will be positive definite. Thus this lemma follows from Myers' Theorem. □

Theorem 4.9. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a complete Sasakian manifold with constant positive pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature, positive CR Yamabe constant and the dimension $2n+1 \geq 5$. Assume that for any $\sigma \geq 2$,*

$$\int_{B_r} |E|^{\sigma}\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n = o(r^2), \quad \text{as } r \to \infty, \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}||C||_{L^{n+1}(M)} + ||E||_{L^{n+1}(M)} < C_{n\sigma}\sqrt{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2n^2+4n+3}}\lambda(M) \tag{4.16}$$

where

$$C_{n\sigma} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2n\sigma - 2n + 2}{(n+1)\sigma^2}, & \text{for } n = 2 \text{ or } 3,\ \sigma \geq 2,\\ \dfrac{2}{n+1}, & \text{for } n \geq 4,\ 2 \leq \sigma < n-1,\\ \dfrac{2n\sigma - 2n + 2}{(n+1)\sigma^2}, & \text{for } n \geq 4,\ \sigma \geq n-1. \end{cases}$$

Then M is compact.

Proof. We prove it by contradiction. Suppose that M is noncompact. Let κ be a sufficiently small positive number such that

$$\begin{aligned}||b(x)||_{L^{n+1}(M)} \leq& \sqrt{\frac{2n^2+4n+3}{(n+1)(n+2)}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}||C||_{L^{n+1}(M)} + ||E||_{L^{n+1}(M)}\right)\\ <& (C_{n\sigma} - \kappa)\lambda(M).\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

We choose some proper $0 < \epsilon < \sigma + \frac{1}{n} - 1$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the coefficient $\tilde{C}_{\delta,\epsilon} = C_{n\sigma} - \kappa$ in (3.9). Moreover, the inequality $-\frac{2\rho}{n+1} + \tilde{C}_{\delta,\epsilon}\rho \leq 0$ is always true. Hence on account of (4.10) and the initial assumption that M is noncompact, Corollary 3.3 yields that $E \equiv 0$ and then $R_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} = \frac{\rho}{n}$. But since ρ is positive, Lemma 4.8 guarantees that M is compact which contradicts with our initial assumption. Thus M must be compact. □

The method in [12] enables us to obtain the pseudo-Einstein property in the interesting case $\sigma = n + 1$.

Corollary 4.10. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a complete Sasakian manifold with constant positive pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature, positive CR Yamabe constant and the dimension $2n + 1 \geq 5$. Assume that*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}||C||_{L^{n+1}(M)} + ||E||_{L^{n+1}(M)} < \frac{2n^2+2}{(n+1)^{\frac{5}{2}}}\sqrt{\frac{n+2}{2n^2+4n+3}}\lambda(M). \tag{4.18}$$

Then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is a compact pseudo-Einstein manifold and the real first Chern class of the horizontal bundle HM vanishes.

Proof. Since (4.18) implies (4.15) and (4.16) with $\sigma = n + 1$, M is compact by Theorem 4.9. Hence it suffices to prove that (M, HM, J_b, θ) is pseudo-Einstein. Integrating (4.9) over M and using the Hölder inequality, we have

$$||b||_{L^{n+1}}||E||^2_{L^p} - \frac{2\rho}{n+1}||E||^2_{L^2} - \frac{n+1}{n}||\nabla_b|E|||^2_{L^2} \geq 0. \tag{4.19}$$

Since the CR Yamabe constant is positive, we can estimate $||E||^2_{L^p}$ by

$$||E||^2_{L^p} \leq \lambda(M)^{-1}(b_n||\nabla_b|E|||^2_{L^2} + \rho||E||^2_{L^2})$$

where $p = b_n = 2 + \frac{2}{n}$. Substituting it to (4.19), we find

$$\left(\frac{||b(x)||_{L^{n+1}}}{\lambda(M)} - \frac{1}{2}\right)b_n||\nabla_b|E|||^2_{L^2} + \left(\frac{||b(x)||_{L^{n+1}}}{\lambda(M)} - \frac{2}{n+1}\right)\rho||E||^2_{L^2} \geq 0.$$

Hence $E = 0$ on account of (4.18) and then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is pseudo-Einstein. From [19], we know that the real first Chern class of the horizontal bundle HM vanishes. This completes the proof. □

By Omori-Yau maximum principle (cf. [27]), we have the following L^∞ pinching theorem.

Theorem 4.11. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a complete Sasakian manifold of dimension $2n + 1 \geq 5$ and with constant positive pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature ρ. Assume that*

$$\sup_M \left(\sqrt{2}|E| + |C|\right) < \sqrt{\frac{8(n+2)}{(n+1)(2n^2+4n+3)}}\rho. \tag{4.20}$$

Then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is a pseudo-Einstein manifold. In particular, M is compact and the real first Chern class of the horizontal bundle HM vanishes.

Proof. We prove it by contradiction. Assume that (M, HM, J_b, θ) is not pseudo-Einstein. Then $\sup_M |E|^2$ is nonzero. The condition (4.20) implies that the pseudo-Hermitian Ricci curvature is bounded. So is the Riemannian Ricci curvature Ric^θ due to the relations (2.13). Hence if M is noncompact, we can apply Omori-Yau maximum principle to $|E|^2$; if M is compact, we use the standard maximum principle. In either case, we can get a sequence $\{x_k\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} |E|^2(x_k) = \sup_M |E|^2, \ \lim_{k\to+\infty} |\nabla E| = 0, \text{ and } \limsup_{k\to+\infty} \triangle |E|^2(x_k) \le 0.$$

By (4.9) and $E_{\alpha\bar\beta,0} = 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\triangle|E|^2 \ge |\nabla E|^2 + \left(\frac{2\rho}{n+1} - b(x)\right)|E|^2 \ge \left(\frac{2\rho}{n+1} - b(x)\right)|E|^2.$$

Considering it at x_k and taking the supremum limit as $k \to +\infty$, we discover

$$\limsup_{k\to+\infty} \left(\frac{2\rho}{n+1} - b(x)\right)(x_k) \le 0$$

which leads a contradiction with (4.20). We have proved that (M, HM, J_b, θ) is pseudo-Einstein. The compactness of M is due to Lemma 4.8. Hence by [19], the real first Chern class of the horizontal bundle HM vanishes. This completes the proof. □

It is known that if a compact Kähler manifold has quasi-positive orthogonal bisectional curvature and constant scalar curvature, then the Kähler metric is Kähler-Einstein (cf. [10, 13]). One may prove this result by using the Ricci identity and the Codazzi equation for the Ricci tensor. For a pseudo-Hermitian manifold, especially a Sasakian manifold, we have the Codazzi type equation (2.8) and the Ricci identity (2.15) too. These properties for the pseudo-Hermitian Ricci tensor enable us to derive a similar theorem.

Definition 4.12. A strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold (M, HM, J_b, θ) has nonnegative orthogonal pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature if for any point $x \in M$ and any $X, Y \in T_{1,0}M_x$ with $g_\theta(X, \overline{Y}) = 0$, we have

$$g_\theta\big(R(X, \overline{X})Y, \overline{Y}\big) \ge 0.$$

If in addition there exists some $x_0 \in M$ such that the above inequality is strict for any $X, Y \in T_{1,0}M_{x_0}$, then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is said to have quasi-positive orthogonal pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature.

Theorem 4.13. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a compact $(2n+1)$-Sasakian manifold with constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. Assume that it has quasi-positive orthogonal pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature, then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is a pseudo-Einstein manifold. In particular, the real first Chern class of the horizontal bundle HM vanishes.*

Proof. Since the pseudo-Hermitian torsion vanishes, we have $R_{\lambda\bar{\mu},0} = 0$ and $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\lambda} = R_{\lambda\bar{\beta},\alpha}$ by (2.8) and (2.10). The constancy of the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature and the Ricci identity (2.15) yield

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle_b|R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2 =&(R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta})_{,\gamma\bar{\gamma}} + (R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta})_{,\bar{\gamma}\gamma}\\ =&4R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma}R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta,\bar{\gamma}} + 2R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma\bar{\gamma}}R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} + 2R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\bar{\gamma}\gamma}R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}\\ =&4R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma}R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta,\bar{\gamma}} + 4R_{\mu\bar{\beta}}R_{\beta\bar{\alpha}}R_{\alpha\bar{\mu}} - 4R_{\bar{\beta}\mu\alpha\bar{\gamma}}R_{\gamma\bar{\mu}}R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $(R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ is a Hermitian matrix, we can choose some proper basis $\{\theta^\alpha\}$ such that $(R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ is diagonal at a given point and assume that $\{\lambda_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n$ are the eigenvalues. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle_b|R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2 =&4R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma}R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta,\bar{\gamma}} + 4\sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha^3 - 4\sum_{\alpha,\,\beta} R_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha\beta\bar{\beta}}\lambda_\beta\lambda_\alpha\\ =&4R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma}R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta,\bar{\gamma}} + 2\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta} R_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha\beta\bar{\beta}}(\lambda_\alpha-\lambda_\beta)^2 \geq 0, \qquad (4.21)\end{aligned}$$

due to $R_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha\beta\bar{\beta}} \geq 0$ by assumption. Bony's maximum principle (Lemma 2.12) guarantees that $|R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|$ is constant. Hence $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma} = 0$ and $\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta} R_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha\beta\bar{\beta}}(\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta) = 0$. The first equation says that the pseudo-Hermitian Ricci curvature is parallel and thus the eigenvalues are constant numbers. But since $R_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha\beta\bar{\beta}} > 0$ at some point, $\lambda_\alpha = \lambda_\beta$ at this point and therefore they are equal. So (M, HM, J_b, θ) is a pseudo-Einstein manifold. Hence by [19], the real first Chern class of the horizontal bundle HM vanishes. This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.14. This theorem was first generalized to Sasakian case by X. Zhang in [28]. We recapture it in a simpler way. Moreover all of the results in this section can be generalized to complete strictly pseudoconvex CR manifolds with divergence free pseudo-Hermitian torsion, that is $A_{\alpha\beta,\bar{\beta}} =0$, since both the Ricci formula of pseudo-Hermitian Ricci curvature with $R_{\lambda\bar{\mu},0} = 0$ and the Codazzi equation still hold in this case.

5 Rigidity Theorems of Sasakian space forms

In this section, we establish some rigidity theorems on complete Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifolds. Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifold with pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. Under the assumption, by (2.14), the Chern-Moser tensor becomes

$$C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}} = R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}} - \frac{\rho}{n(n+1)}(\delta_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}\delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + \delta_{\bar{\alpha}\lambda}\delta_{\beta\bar{\mu}}). \qquad (5.1)$$

By Lemma 2.6, we know that the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature is constant. Hence (5.1) yields that the Chern-Moser tensor satisfies the Bianchi-type identity, i.e. $C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu},\gamma} = C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\gamma\bar{\mu},\lambda}$. Similarly, the equation (2.7) gives $C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu},0} = 0$. From the Ricci identity (2.16) and (5.1), we derive

$$C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\gamma\bar{\mu},\lambda\bar{\gamma}} - C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\gamma\bar{\mu},\bar{\gamma}\lambda} = -C_{\bar{\nu}\beta\gamma\bar{\mu}}C_{\bar{\alpha}\nu\lambda\bar{\gamma}} + C_{\bar{\alpha}\nu\gamma\bar{\mu}}C_{\bar{\nu}\beta\lambda\bar{\gamma}} - C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\gamma\bar{\nu}}C_{\bar{\mu}\nu\lambda\bar{\gamma}} + \frac{\rho}{n}C_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}}.$$

Under these preparations, the sub-Laplacian of $|C_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu}|^2$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\triangle_b|C_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu}|^2 &= 2C_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu,\gamma}C_{\alpha\bar\beta\bar\lambda\mu,\bar\gamma} + C_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu,\gamma\bar\gamma}C_{\alpha\bar\beta\bar\lambda\mu} + \overline{C_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu,\gamma\bar\gamma}C_{\alpha\bar\beta\bar\lambda\mu}}\\ &= 2|C_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu,\gamma}|^2 + C_{\bar\alpha\beta\gamma\bar\mu,\lambda\bar\gamma}C_{\alpha\bar\beta\bar\lambda\mu} + \overline{C_{\bar\alpha\beta\gamma\bar\mu,\lambda\bar\gamma}C_{\alpha\bar\beta\bar\lambda\mu}}\\ &= 2|C_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu,\gamma}|^2 - 4C_{\bar\lambda\alpha\mu\bar\beta}C_{\bar\mu\beta\gamma\bar\nu}C_{\bar\gamma\nu\lambda\bar\alpha} + 2C_{\bar\beta\alpha\mu\bar\lambda}C_{\bar\alpha\nu\gamma\bar\mu}C_{\bar\nu\beta\lambda\bar\gamma} + \frac{2\rho}{n}|C_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu}|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

To estimate the second term of the last line, we consider the $n^2\times n^2$ Hermitian matrix $(D_{\overline{(\lambda\bar\alpha)}(\mu\bar\beta)})$ with its entry $D_{\overline{(\lambda\bar\alpha)}(\mu\bar\beta)} = C_{\bar\lambda\alpha\mu\bar\beta}$. Since the Chern-Moser tensor is traceless, we can use Lemma 4.2 to deduce that

$$\Big|\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\mu,\nu,\gamma} C_{\bar\lambda\alpha\mu\bar\beta}C_{\bar\mu\beta\gamma\bar\nu}C_{\bar\gamma\nu\lambda\bar\alpha}\Big| \le \frac{n^2-2}{\sqrt{n^2(n^2-1)}}\Big|\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\mu}|C_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu}|^2\Big|^{\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{5.3}$$

For the third term, by considering the Hermitian matrix $(H_{\overline{(\beta\lambda)}(\alpha\mu)})$ with its entry $H_{\overline{(\beta\lambda)}(\alpha\mu)} = C_{\bar\beta\alpha\mu\bar\lambda}$, we have a similar estimate. By definition, we have

$$|C|^2 = 4\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\mu}|C_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu}|^2, \quad |\nabla_b C|^2 = 8\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\mu,\gamma}|C_{\bar\alpha\beta\lambda\bar\mu,\gamma}|^2$$

where $\nabla_b C$ is the horizontal part of ∇C. Then (5.2) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\triangle_b|C|^2 \ge |\nabla_b C|^2 - \frac{3(n^2-2)}{\sqrt{n^2(n^2-1)}}|C|^3 + \frac{2\rho}{n}|C|^2. \tag{5.4}$$

To deal with the first term on the right side of (5.4), we need the following type of Kato inequality

Lemma 5.1 ([1]). *Suppose S, T are tensors having the same symmetry as the curvature R, and the covariant derivative ∇R of the curvature tensor of the Einstein metric g respectively. Then there exists $\delta = \delta(m)$ such that*

$$(1+\delta)\big|(S,T)\big|^2 \le |S|^2|T|^2,$$

where (S,T) is a 1-form defined by $(S,T)(X) = \big(S, T(X)\big)$ for a tangent vector X. Moreover if g is Kähler, we can take $\delta = \frac{4}{m+2}$. If $m = 4$, and g is self-dual or anti self-dual, we can take $\delta = \frac{2}{3}$.

The proof of Lemma 5.1 only involves the algebraic symmetric properties of S and T, such as first Bianchi identity, second Bianchi identity and antisymmetric when g is Kähler. In our case, the tensors C and $\nabla_b C$ have these properties. Hence we can repeat the proof ($m = 2n$) and get

$$\frac{n+3}{n+1}|\langle C, \nabla_b C\rangle|^2 \le |C|^2|\nabla_b C|^2. \tag{5.5}$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifold with pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. Then the Chern-Moser tensor satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{2}\triangle_b|C|^2 \geq |\nabla_b C|^2 - \varepsilon|C|^3 + \frac{2\rho}{n}|C|^2, \quad on \ \ M \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$|C|\triangle_b|C| + \left(d(x) - \frac{2\rho}{n}\right)|C|^2 - \frac{2}{n+1}|\nabla_b|C||^2 \geq 0, \quad weakly \ on \ \ M \tag{5.7}$$

where $\varepsilon = \frac{3(n^2-2)}{\sqrt{n^2(n^2-1)}}$ *and* $d(x) = \frac{3(n^2-2)}{\sqrt{n^2(n^2-1)}}|C|$.

When the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature is zero, we can apply Corollary 3.3 to (5.7) and repeat the proof of Theorem 4.5 to obtain some rigidity theorems for the Chern-Moser tensor. On account of Lemma 2.5, we have

Theorem 5.3. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a noncompact Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifold with zero pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature, positive CR Yamabe constant and the dimension $2n+1 \geq 5$. Assume that for some $\sigma \geq 2$,*

$$\int_{B_r} |C|^\sigma \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n = o(r^2), \quad as \ \ r \to +\infty, \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$||C||_{L^{n+1}(M)} < \frac{2n^2}{3(n^2-2)}\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n+1}}\left(\sigma + \frac{2}{n+1} - 1\right)\sigma^{-2}\lambda(M). \tag{5.9}$$

Then the Chern-Moser tensor vanishes and (M, HM, J_b, θ) has zero pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature. If in addition M is simply connected, then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is D-homothetic to Heisenberg group.

Combining Theorem 5.3 with Theorem 4.6, we have the following rigidity theorem which characterizes Heisenberg group.

Theorem 5.4. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a simply connected complete noncompact Sasakian manifold with zero pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature, positive CR Yamabe constant and the dimension $2n+1 \geq 5$. Assume that*

$$||C||_{L^{n+1}(M)} + \sqrt{2}||E||_{L^{n+1}(M)} < \frac{2n^2(n^2+n+2)}{3(n+1)^3(n^2-2)}\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n+1}}\lambda(M).$$

Then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is D-homothetic to Heisenberg group.

For the negative pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature, we have a similar result.

Theorem 5.5. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a complete noncompact Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifold with negative pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature, positive CR Yamabe constant and the dimension $2n+1 \geq 9$. Assume that*

$$\int_{B_r} |C|^\sigma \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n = o(r^2), \quad as \ \ r \to +\infty,$$

and

$$||C||_{L^{n+1}(M)} < \frac{2n^2}{3(n^2-2)}\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n+1}}\left(\sigma + \frac{2}{n+1} - 1\right)\sigma^{-2}\lambda(M)$$

for some $2 \leq \sigma < \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\left(n^2 + \sqrt{n^4 - 4n^3 + 4n^2}\right)$. *Then the Chern-Moser tensor vanishes and* (M, HM, J_b, θ) *has constant negative pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature.*

For Riemannian compact Einstein manifolds, the authors in [12, 22] established some L^p rigidity theorems to characterize the spheres. For compact Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifolds, M. Itoh and H. Satoh [14] gave an $L^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ gap condition for the Chern-Moser tensor to characterize S^{2n+1}. Note that their gap condition is not CR conformal invariant. Let's therefore attempt to find an L^{n+1} rigidity theorem for compact Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifolds.

Theorem 5.6. *Let* (M, HM, J_b, θ) *be a compact Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifold with positive pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature, positive CR Yamabe constant and the dimension* $2n+1 \geq 5$. *Assume that*

$$||C||_{L^{n+1}(M)} < C_1\lambda(M), \tag{5.10}$$

where

$$C_1 = \begin{cases} \dfrac{5}{9\sqrt{3}}, & \text{for } n = 2, \\ \dfrac{9\sqrt{2}}{56}, & \text{for } n = 3, \\ \dfrac{2\sqrt{n^2-1}}{3(n^2-2)}. & \text{for } n \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Then $C \equiv 0$ *which means that* (M, HM, J_b, θ) *has constant positive pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature. Furthermore, if* M *is simply connected, then* (M, HM, J_b, θ) *is D-homothetic to* S^{2n+1}.

Proof. By integrating (5.6) over M and using (5.5) and the divergence theorem, we get

$$\frac{n+3}{n+1}||\nabla_b|C|||_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2\rho}{n}||C||_{L^2}^2 \leq \varepsilon||C||_{L^3}^3. \tag{5.11}$$

Moreover, by the Hölder inequality and the assumption of positive CR Yamabe constant,

$$||C||_{L^3}^3 \leq ||C||_{L^{n+1}}||C||_{L^{\frac{2n+2}{n}}}^2 \leq \frac{||C||_{L^{n+1}}}{\lambda(M)}\left(\frac{2n+2}{n}||\nabla_b|C|||_{L^2}^2 + \rho||C||_{L^2}^2\right).$$

Substituting the above inequality into (5.11), we have

$$\left(\frac{2\varepsilon(n+1)}{n\lambda(M)}||C||_{L^{n+1}} - \frac{n+3}{n+1}\right)||\nabla_b|C|||_{L^2}^2 + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda(M)}||C||_{L^{n+1}} - \frac{2}{n}\right)\rho||C||_{L^2}^2 \geq 0.$$

Combining with (5.10), we conclude that $C \equiv 0$ and then (M, HM, J_b, θ) has constant positive pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature. The rest part of this theorem is due to Lemma 2.5. □

Combining Theorem 5.6 with Corollary 4.10, we have

Corollary 5.7. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a simply-connected complete Sasakian manifold with constant positive pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature, positive CR Yamabe constant and the dimension $2n+1 \geq 5$. Assume that*

$$||C||_{L^{n+1}(M)} + \sqrt{2}\,||E||_{L^{n+1}(M)} < C_1 \lambda(M),$$

where C_1 is defined as Theorem 5.6. Then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is D-homothetic to S^{2n+1}.

A direct consequence of (5.6) and the maximum principle is the following L^∞ pinching theorem.

Theorem 5.8. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a compact Sasakian pseudo-Einstein manifold of dimension $2n+1 \geq 5$ and with positive pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. Assume that*

$$\sup_M |C| < \frac{2\sqrt{n^2-1}}{3(n^2-2)}\,\rho.$$

Then $C \equiv 0$ and (M, HM, J_b, θ) has constant positive pseudo-Hermitian sectional curvature. Furthermore, if M is simply connected, then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is D-homothetic to S^{2n+1}.

A direct calculation shows that

$$\frac{2\sqrt{n^2-1}}{3(n^2-2)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{8(n+2)}{(n+1)(2n^2+4n+3)}}$$

for $n \geq 2$. Consequently, we get from Theorem 5.8 and Theorem 4.11 that

Corollary 5.9. *Let (M, HM, J_b, θ) be a simply connected Sasakian manifold of dimension $2n+1 \geq 5$ and with constant positive pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. Assume that*

$$\sup_M \left(|C| + \sqrt{2}|E|\right) < \frac{2\sqrt{n^2-1}}{3(n^2-2)}\,\rho.$$

Then (M, HM, J_b, θ) is D-homothetic to S^{2n+1}.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Professor Jih-Hsin Cheng for his interesting lectures which drew our attention to Chern-Moser theory.

References

[1] S. Bando, A. Kasue, and H. Nakajima. On a construction of coordinates at infinity on manifolds with fast curvature decay and maximal volume growth. *Invent. Math.*, 97(2):313–349, 1989.

[2] D. E. Blair. *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, volume 203. Springer, 2010.

[3] J. M. Bony. Principe du maximum, inégalite de Harnack et unicité du problème de Cauchy pour les opérateurs elliptiques dégénérés. 19(1):277–304, 1969.

[4] C. P. Boyer and K. Galicki. *Sasakian geometry*. Oxford University Press Oxford, 2008.

[5] S. S. Chern and J. K. Moser. Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds. *Acta Math.*, 133(1):219–271, 1974.

[6] T. Chong, Y. Dong, H. Lin, and Y. Ren. Gap Theorems for Kähler manifolds with constant scalar curvature. to appear.

[7] S. Dragomir and G. Tomassini. *Differential geometry and analysis on CR manifolds*, volume 246. Springer, 2006.

[8] H. Gerhard. Ricci deformation of the metric on a Riemannian manifold. *J. Differential Geom*, 21(1):47–62, 1985.

[9] S. I. Goldberg. An application of Yaus maximum principle to conformally flat spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 79(2):268–270, 1980.

[10] P. Guan, Q. Li, and X. Zhang. A uniqueness theorem in Kähler geometry. *Math. Ann.*, 345(2):377–393, 2009.

[11] I. Hasegawa and M. Seino. Some remarks on Sasakian geometry–applications of Myers' theorem and the canonical affine connection. *J. Hokkaido Univ. Ed. Sect. II A*, 32(1):1–7, 1981/1982.

[12] E. Hebey and M. Vaugon. Effective L^p pinching for the concircular curvature. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 6(4):531–553, 1996.

[13] A. Howard, B. Smyth, and H. Wu. On compact Kähler manifolds of nonnegative bisectional curvature, I. *Acta Math.*, 147(1):51–56, 1981.

[14] M. Itoh and D. Kobayashi. Isolation Theorems of the Bochner Curvature Type Tensors. *Tokyo J. Math.*, 27(1):227–237, 2004.

[15] M. Itoh and H. Satoh. Isolation of the Weyl conformal tensor for Einstein manifolds. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 78(7):140–142, 2002.

[16] D. Jerison and J. M. Lee. The Yamabe Problem on CR Manifolds. *J. Differential Geom*, 25(2):167–197, 1987.

[17] J. Jost and C. Xu. Subelliptic harmonic maps. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, pages 4633–4649, 1998.

[18] S. Kim. Rigidity of noncompact complete manifolds with harmonic curvature. *Manuscripta Math.*, 135(1-2):107–116, 2011.

[19] J. M. Lee. Pseudo-Einstein Structures on CR Manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, 110(1):157–178, 1988.

[20] M. Okumura. Hypersurfaces and a pinching problem on the second fundamental tensor. *Amer. J. Math.*, 96(1):207–213, 1974.

[21] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, and A. G. Setti. *Vanishing and finiteness results in geometric analysis: a generalization of the Bochner technique*, volume 266. Springer, 2008.

[22] Z. Shen. Some rigidity phenomena for Einstein metrics. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 108(4):981–987, 1990.

[23] M. A. Singer. Positive Einstein metrics with small $L^{\frac{n}{2}}$-norm of the Weyl tensor. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 2(3):269–274, 1992.

[24] S. Tanno. Sasakian manifolds with constant ϕ-holomorphic sectional curvature. *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 21(3):501–507, 1969.

[25] S. M. Webster. Pseudo-Hermitian structures on a real hypersurface. *J. Differential Geom*, 13(1):25–41, 1978.

[26] H. Xu and E. Zhao. L^p Ricci curvature pinching theorems for conformally flat Riemannian manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.*, 245(2):381–396, 2010.

[27] S. T. Yau. Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 28(2):201–228, 1975.

[28] X. Zhang. A note of Sasakian metrics with constant scalar curvature. *J. Math. Phys.*, 50:103505, 2009.

Yuxin Dong
School of Mathematical Sciences
Fudan University, Shanghai 200433
P. R. China
yxdong@fudan.edu.cn

Hezi Lin
School of Mathematics and Computer Science
Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350108
P. R. China
lhz1@fjnu.edu.cn.

Yibin Ren

School of Mathematical Sciences
Fudan University, Shanghai 200433
P. R. China
allenrybqqm@hotmail.com